1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex rel. MARY HENDOW and JULIE ALBERTSON,
12	Plaintiffs, No. CIV S-03-0457 GEB DAD
13	V.
14	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX,
15	Defendant. ORDER
16	/
17	This case came before the court on April 24, 2009, for hearing on relators' motion
18	to compel further responses to six interrogatories and five requests for production of documents
19	(Doc. No. 245). Michael Rubin and Rachel German appeared for the relators. Kristopher P.
20	Diulio and Jason Glickman appeared for defendant.
21	For the reasons stated in open court, relators' motion to compel was granted in
22	part and denied in part. With respect to interrogatory 12, defendant shall by May 15, 2009,
23	provide relators with the default rate, not broken down by category, for the time period 2006-08.
24	As to interrogatory 21, defendant shall supplement its response by May 7, 2009 and state
25	unequivocally whether it intends to present an advice of counsel defense. With respect to
26	interrogatories 22 and 23, defendant shall answer the interrogatories as modified and limited on

the record at the hearing on the motion and shall do so by May 15, 2009, absent further order of the court. As to requests for production 3 and 4, defendant shall by May 15, 2009, produce the documents called for by the requests as modified and limited on the record at the hearing. With respect to request for production 5, defendant shall supplement its response to clarify the scope of the search of records that was undertaken in response to the request. In all other respects, relators' motion to compel (Doc. No. 245) was denied.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS ORDERED.

DATED: May 14, 2009.

Ddad1/orders.civil/hendow0457.oah.042409